

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,476	08/22/2003	Renold J. Russie	279.236US2	9314
7590 12/22/2004			EXAMINER	
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P. A.			MANUEL, GEORGE C	
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, -			3762	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astice Summer	10/646,476	RUSSIE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
TI MAN DIO DATE (1)	George Manuel	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	tne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/26 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matte	·	
Disposition of Claims		,	
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		-
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been re	olication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s).(PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/04. 	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,476

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3, 9-13, 19 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Spinelli et al '712.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 1, 6-8, 10, 11 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bolz et al '611.

Bolz et al disclose lowering a stimulation threshold after a specified number of stimulation pulses and raising the stimulation threshold immediately following a loss of capture. Amplifier 11 senses an evoked potential 3. The examiner is interpreting control switch 17 to comprise a switching circuit and the alignment operation to comprise a capture verification test. The amplitude control stage 5 may be lowered or raised and a corresponding pacing pulse energy may be lowered or raised depending on whether capture was present or absent, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5, 9, 12-15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolz et al '611 in view of Spinelli et al '712.

Bolz et al show all of the claimed features except for biventricular pacing.

Spinelli et al teach cardiac output can be increased by improving the synchronization of right and left ventricular contractions with biventricular pacing.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide the evoked potential pacing and sensing of Bolz et al with biventricular pacing and sensing because the Spinelli et al reference teaches this improves cardiac output and Spinelli et al teach a similar pulse energy relationship to capture detection and pulse energy adjustment.

Regarding claims 9 and 20, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to combine the telemetry interface 80 of Spinellie et al with the pacemaker device incorporating the teachings of Bolz et al because Spinelli et al teach the telemetry interface may be provided for communicating with an external programmer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

George Manuel imary Examiner Art Unit: 3762

12/17/04